

<b>Funding for Sustainment of Regional HazMat Teams</b>		<b>Agency/Program #:</b> 6701-21-I1
		<b>Division:</b> Disaster & Emergency Svcs
		<b>Program:</b>
<b>Agency Name:</b>	Department of Military Affairs	
<b>Agency Contact:</b>	Dan McGowan	841-3911
<b>LFC Contact:</b>	Senator Bales, Senator Schmidt, Representative Hiner	
<b>LFD Liaison:</b>	Pam Joehler	444-2722
<b>OBPP Liaison:</b>	Shawn Graham	444-0054

**Program or Project Description:**

Funding for Sustainment of Regional HazMat Teams

Appropriation, Expenditure and Source				
Fund Name:	2008		2009	
	Approp.	Expended	Approp.	Expended
General Fund	290,000	268,261	290,000	
State Special				
Federal Funds				
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$290,000</b>	<b>\$268,261</b>	<b>\$290,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Approp & Expenditure numbers are as of 4/15/2008

**Legislative Goal(s):**

Funding for Sustainment of Regional HazMat Teams

**Legislative Performance Measures :**

Sustain interoperability efforts of the six regional teams  
 Coordinate response with entities outside their local government jurisdiction  
 Maintain and update assigned state equipment used in hazardous materials response

**2009 Biennium Significant Milestones:**

Completion Dates

Target Actual

This is a State Emergency Response Commission (SERC) initiative. The SERC is administratively attached to the Department in Title 10 MCA. We have "passed through" \$265,000 to the six teams divided equally among the teams. At the end of each fiscal year, each of the regional teams is required to submit to DES, a detailed report as to how the funding was spent, including:

- Equipment and supplies replaced due to shelf life expiration,
- Additional training and exercise equipment and supplies,
- New equipment purchased
- Training exercise and outreach activities,
- Numbers and their respective disciplines of personnel trained during outreach/training programs within local jurisdictions

DES will consolidate the reports into one master report for the SERC to ensure the legislative intent was met. The report will also contain detailed information as to how the \$25,000 allowed to DMA to support basic individual training for local responders in weapons of mass destruction/HazMat awareness, decontamination and HazMat incident command was spent.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5

**Agency Performance Report:**

The State Emergency Response Commission, Hazardous Materials sub-committee met with the six regional hazmat response teams and negotiated the above listed objectives when the funding was made available at the beginning of Fiscal Year 2008. The negotiations included the requirement for the teams to report on how the objectives were met and provide additional details regarding the desired positive impacts to local jurisdictions and responders at the end of each fiscal year. We expect this report will be received in July of 2008 and the information will be included in this report for the following reporting cycle.

State DES identified a need to train Department of Transportation (MDOT) field employees in hazmat awareness during this fiscal year. There are approximately 600 MDOT employees working with local responders on a daily basis and are potentially responsible for hazardous materials released on state owned right-of-ways that intersect local jurisdictions. MDOT employees are engaged with local responders, and need to be trained to effectively work with them during a hazmat incident. The department has contracted with a certified Haz-Mat trainer who is training 30 to 50 MDOT employees each week. 300 MDOT employees have completed the training.

**LFD Narrative:**

LFD ASSESSMENT: Critical

DATA RELEVANCE:

APPROPRIATION STATUS: Appropriation and expenditure data were provided

ISSUE: To be meaningful, performance measures should be specific, measurable, accountable, relevant, and time-bound. The performance measures for this initiative are none of the above. The workgroup is not able to evaluate progress because there is nothing specific to measure it against.

OPTIONS:

- 1) Dismiss from further review
- 2) Review again in October 2008
- 3) Request additional information
- 4) Upgrade or downgrade the rating

POTENTIAL QUESTIONS FOR THE COMMITTEE:

- What kind of progress is anticipated by the time session convenes?
- Have there been any positive unintended outcomes of this situation?
- What are the low or no cost solutions to the factors impeding success?
- What is the plan for the next biennium?
- Is there a need for a drastic change in course?
- How much is anticipated for reversions?
- What MT population is not receiving services due to the delay?
- What is the risk to the state if the activity was abandoned?



Version	Date	Author
	5/21/2008	Joehler

Change Description
Added LFD narrative